

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMPINAL	_
09/472,088	12/23/1999	N.S. RAMESH		CONFIRMATION NO.	
		N.S. RAMESH	D-30030-01	80030-01 8299	
28236 75	590 11/27/2001				
CRYOVAC, I	NC				
SEALED AIR CORP			EXAMINER		٦
P.O. BOX 464	VO, HAI				
DUNCAN, SC	29334		VO, 1	IAI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	ר
			<u> </u>	TATER NUMBER	J
			1771	8	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/472,088	RAMESH, N.S.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hai Vo	1771			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
- External control con	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of the statutory of th	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 C	October 2001 .				
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[_	] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	au (PCT Rule 17 2(a))				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice (	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/472,088

Art Unit: 1771

1. Claims 22-32 are cancelled without traverse in Paper No. 7.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hurley et al (US 5,938,878) substantially as set forth in Paper No. 6.

## Response to Arguments

- 5. The art rejections over D'Luzansky have been overcome by the present response.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The argument that Hurley does not teach or suggest the coating and the resultant composite structure that have all the limitations as set forth in the claims is not persuasive. At the first place, Hurley teaches a laminate having a core material 4 bonded to first foam layer 6 on one surface and to a second foam layer 8 on the

Application/Control Number: 09/472,088

Art Unit: 1771

second surface (figure 2). The core material of Hurley is analogous to a coating as claimed by the present application. Secondly, Hurley goes on and discloses the core (as the claimed coating) comprising ethylene-propylene rubber (column 9, line 25) which is one of members required by the claims. Further, the argument that Hurley does not teach the coating but rather uses heat or adhesive lamination is not persuasive because of the following reasons. It's the examiner's position that the article of Hurley is identical to or only slightly different that the claimed article prepared by the method of the claim, because both articles use the same materials, having structural similarity (a coating disposed between two different foam layers). Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the productby-process claim is the same as or an obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process. In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). The burden has been shifted to the applicant to show unobvious differences between the claimed product and the prior art product. In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 289,291 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The Hurley reference either anticipated or strongly suggested the claimed subject matter. It is noted that if the applicant intends to rely on Examples in the specification or in a submitted Declaration to show non-obviousness, the applicant should clearly state how the Examples of the present invention are commensurate in scope with the claims and how the Comparative Examples are commensurate in scope with Hurley.

Application/Control Number: 09/472,088

Art Unit: 1771

The Examiner agrees that Hurley is silent as to a bond strength of the laminate. However, since the laminate of Hurley is structurally the same, and made of the same materials as the presently claimed composite. It is the examiner's position that the laminated structure of Hurley exhibits substantially identical properties as the composite structure of present application. Hurley is either anticipated or strongly suggested the claimed subject matter. Note <u>In re Best</u> 195 USPQ at 433, footnote 4 (CCPA 1977) as to the providing of this rejection under 35 USC 103 in addition to the rejection made under 35 USC 102.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426.
The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30 to 5:00 (EAST).

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (703) 308-1261. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5436 for regular communications and (703) 305-7718 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV November 9, 2001

> BLAINE COPENHEAVER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700